

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
WESTERN DIVISION**

DAVID E. EMMOT,

Plaintiff,

**Case No.: 11-cv-00359**

v.

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC,

Defendant.

---

**COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

---

**JURISDICTION**

1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
2. This action arises out of the Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

**VENUE**

3. Venue is proper in this District.
4. The acts and transactions alleged herein occurred within this Judicial District.
5. The Plaintiff resides within this Judicial District.
6. Defendant transacts business and regularly collects debts by telephone and the mails within this Judicial District.

**PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff, David E. Emmot, is a natural person.

8. The Plaintiff resides in the City of Independence, County of Jackson, State of Missouri.
9. The Plaintiff is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
10. The Plaintiff is an “any person” as that term is used within 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
11. Defendant, Portfolio Recovery Associates LLC, is a foreign corporation with no Missouri registered agent and a corporate address of 120 Corporate Blvd., Suite 100, Norfolk, Virginia 23502.
12. The Defendant is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
13. The principal business of the Defendant is the collection of debts using the mails and telephone.
14. The Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND CAUSES OF ACTION**

15. Sometime prior to the filing of the instant action, the Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is a “debt” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5), (hereinafter the “Account”).
16. The Account was allegedly was not paid and it went into default with the creditor.
17. Sometime after the account went into default, the alleged debt was assigned, placed or otherwise transferred to the Defendant for collection.
18. The Plaintiff disputes the account.
19. The Plaintiff requests that the Defendant cease all communication on the Account.

20. In the year prior to the filing of the instant action, the Plaintiff received telephone calls from representatives, employees and/or agents of the Defendant who were attempting to collect the Account.
21. Upon information and belief, Defendant possesses recordings of the content of telephone calls between Plaintiff and Defendant's representatives.
22. Upon information and belief, Defendant kept and possesses written documentation and/or computer records of telephone calls between Plaintiff and Defendant's representatives.
23. The telephone calls between Defendant and Plaintiff each individually constitute a "communication" as defined by FDCPA § 1692a(2).
24. The purpose of Defendant's telephone calls to Plaintiff was to collect the account.
25. The telephone calls each conveyed information regarding the Account directly or indirectly to the Plaintiff.
26. The only reason that the Defendant and/or its representatives, employees and/or agents made the telephone calls to Plaintiff was to attempt to collect the Account.
27. During the telephone calls representatives, employees and/or agents of the Defendant caused Plaintiff's telephone continuously to ring with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d preface and d(5).
28. During the telephone calls representatives, employees and/or agents of the Defendant repeatedly engaged Plaintiff in conversation with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d preface and d(5).

29. During the telephone calls representatives, employees and/or agents of the Defendant failed to meaningfully disclose the caller's identity in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d preface and d(6).
30. The Defendant and its representatives, employees and/or agents above listed statements and actions involve unfair and/or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt and therefore constitute violate FDCPA 1692f.
31. As a consequence of the Defendant's collection activities and communications, the Plaintiff has suffered actual damages, including emotional distress.

#### **RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR**

32. The representatives and/or collectors at the Defendant were employees of and agents for the Defendant, were acting within the course and scope of their employment at the time of the incidents complained of herein and were under the direct supervision and control of the Defendant at all times mentioned herein.

#### **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

The Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury. US Const. amend. 7., Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 38.

#### **DESIGNATION OF PLACE OF TRIAL**

Plaintiff requests Kansas City, Missouri as the place of trial.

#### **PRAYER**

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff prays that the Court grants the following:

1. Actual damages under 15 USC § 1692k(a)(1).

2. Statutory damages under 15 USC § 1692k(a)(2)(A).
3. Reasonable attorneys fees and costs pursuant to 15 USC § 1692k(a)(3).
4. A finding the Defendant violated the FDCPA.
5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ J. Mark Meinhardt  
J. Mark Meinhardt #53501  
4707 College Blvd., Suite 100  
Leawood, KS 66211  
913-451-9797  
Fax 913-451-6163

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF